

# ORM2: formalisation and encoding in OWL2

Enrico Franconi, Alessandro Mosca, and Dmitry Solomakhin

KRDB Research Centre

Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy

# Summary

# Summary

- A full formalisation of ORM2

# Summary

- A full formalisation of ORM2
- A well founded and provably correct encoding in OWL2 of a relevant fragment of ORM2

# Summary

- A full formalisation of ORM2
- A well founded and provably correct encoding in OWL2 of a relevant fragment of ORM2
- A Visual Studio plugin extending NORMA with reasoning

# A formalisation of ORM2

- We need a precise and complete syntax and semantics
- We have two proposals (proved to be consistent wrt the original Halpin's formalisation, and equivalent among each other)
- We could build upon our formalisation
- Maybe we got something wrong, let's fix it

# A correct encoding in OWL2

- A provably correct encoding in OWL2 of a relevant fragment of ORM2
- All the other approaches in the literature proved to be wrong
- We can extend the expressivity of the currently captured fragment

# A Visual Studio plugin

- Still at an early stage of development, but already quite cool
- Loose coupling with NORMA
- We aim at a much tighter integration with NORMA;  
we would like to discuss how with you



Demo!

# A formalisation of ORM2

# Signature

- A set  $\mathcal{E}$  of *entity type* symbols;
- a set  $\mathcal{V}$  of *value type* symbols;
- a set  $\mathcal{R}$  of *relation* symbols;
- a set  $\mathcal{A}$  of *role* symbols;
- a set  $\mathcal{D}$  of *domain* symbols, and
- a set  $\Lambda$  of pairwise disjoint sets of values;
- for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , an injective extension function  $\Lambda_{(.)} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \Lambda$  associating each domain symbol  $D$  to an extension  $\Lambda_D$ ;
- a binary relation  $\varrho \subseteq \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{A}$  linking role symbols to relation symbols. We take the pair  $R.a$  as the atomic elements of the syntax, and we call it *localised role*. Given a relation symbol  $R$ ,  $\varrho_R = \{R.a | R.a \in \varrho\}$  is the set of localised roles with respect to  $R$ ;  $arity(R) = |\varrho_R|$  is the arity of the relation  $R$ ;
- for each relation symbol  $R$ , a bijection  $\tau_R : \varrho_R \rightarrow [1..|\varrho_R|]$  mapping each element in  $\varrho_R$  to an element in the finite sequence of natural numbers  $[1..|\varrho_R|]$ . We also define  $\tau = \bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \tau_R$ . The mapping  $\tau_R$  guarantees a correspondence between role components and argument positions in a relation, so that we can freely choose between an ‘attribute-based’ and a ‘positional-based’ representation.

# First-Order Logic

- (i)  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$  1-ary predicates for *entity types*;
- (ii)  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m$  1-ary predicates for *value types*;
- (iii)  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_l$  1-ary predicates for *domain symbols*;
- (iv)  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$   $n$ -ary predicates for *relations*;
- (v) a countable set of *constants*  $d_1, d_2, \dots$ ;
- (vi) a set  $ID^2, \dots, ID^{n_{max}}$  of functions,  $n_{max} = \max\{|\varrho_R| \mid R \in \mathcal{R}\}$ .

– Background domain axioms:

$$\forall x. E_i(x) \rightarrow \neg(D_1(x) \vee \dots \vee D_l(x)), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (1)$$

$$\forall x. V_i(x) \rightarrow D_j(x), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m \quad (2)$$

$$\forall x. D_i(x) \leftrightarrow (x = d_1 \vee x = d_2 \vee \dots), \text{ for all } d_i \in \Lambda_{D_i} \quad (3)$$

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, z_1, \dots, z_n. ID(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) = ID(\bar{\mathbf{z}}) \leftrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \bar{\mathbf{s}}, \text{ for } n = 1, \dots, n_{max} \quad (4)$$

■  $\text{TYPE} \subseteq \varrho \times (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V})$

□ If  $\text{TYPE}(R.a, O) \in \Sigma$  then  $\forall x_1 \dots x_{\tau(R.a)} \dots x_n. R(x_1, \dots, x_{\tau(R.a)}, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow O(x_{\tau(R.a)})$

■  $\text{FREQ} \subseteq \wp(\varrho) \times (\wp(\varrho) \times \wp(\varrho)) \times (\mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}))$

□ If  $\text{FREQ}(\{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}, (\min, \max)) \in \Sigma$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \bar{y} [\exists \bar{x}^1 \dots \bar{x}^k (\bigwedge_{j=1}^k R^j(\bar{x}^j) \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}1=1}^n (x_{\tau(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}1})}^1 = y_{1\mathbf{i}1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}k=1}^m (x_{\tau(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}k})}^1 = y_{1\mathbf{i}k}) \wedge \bigwedge_{\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}} (x_{\tau(R^{r+}.a_{r+\mathbf{v}_r})}^{r+} = x_{\tau(R^{r-}.a_{r-\mathbf{w}_r})}^{r-}))] \rightarrow \\ & \exists^{\geq \min; \leq \max} \bar{y} [\exists \bar{x}^1 \dots \bar{x}^k (\bigwedge_{j=1}^k R^j(\bar{x}^j) \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}1=1}^n (x_{\tau(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}1})}^1 = y_{1\mathbf{i}1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}k=1}^m (x_{\tau(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}k})}^1 = y_{1\mathbf{i}k}) \wedge (\bigwedge_{\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}} x_{\tau(R^{r+}.a_{r+\mathbf{v}_r})}^{r+} = x_{\tau(R^{r-}.a_{r-\mathbf{w}_r})}^{r-})))] \end{aligned}$$

where:

(1)  $\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} = \{\dots, \langle R^i.a_{i\mathbf{v}} = R^j.a_{j\mathbf{w}} \rangle, \dots\}$ , with  $i \neq j$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , is the finite set of join (role) pairs (given  $k$  relations  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $|\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}| = k - 1$ )

(2)  $R^i.a_{i\mathbf{x}} \in \varrho_{R^i}$  for any  $R^i \in \mathcal{R}$ , and

(3) the equalities in  $\bigwedge_{\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}}$  are specified according to  $\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}$

(e.g. given  $\bar{x}^1, \bar{x}^2, \bar{x}^3$  s.t.  $R^1(\bar{x}^1), R^2(\bar{x}^2), R^3(\bar{x}^3)$ , if  $\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} = \{(R^1.a, R^2.b), (R^2.c, R^3.d)\}$  then  $\bigwedge_{\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}} =_{\text{def}} (x_{\tau(R^1.a)}^1 = x_{\tau(R^2.b)}^2) \wedge (x_{\tau(R^2.c)}^2 = x_{\tau(R^3.d)}^3)$ )

■  $\text{MAND} \subseteq \wp(\varrho) \times (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V})$

□ If  $\text{MAND}(\{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}, O) \in \Sigma$  then

$$\forall y [A(y) \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^n \exists \bar{z}^i (R^1(\bar{z}^i) \wedge (z_{\tau(R^1.a_{1i})}^i = y) \vee \dots \vee \bigvee_{j=1}^m \exists \bar{z}^j . R^k(\bar{z}^j) \wedge (z_{\tau(R^k.a_{kj})}^j = y)))]$$

■  $\text{R-SET}_{\mathbf{H}} \subseteq (\wp(\varrho) \times (\wp(\varrho) \times \wp(\varrho))) \times (\wp(\varrho) \times (\wp(\varrho) \times \wp(\varrho))) \times (\mu: \varrho \rightarrow \varrho)$  where  $\mathbf{H} = \{\text{Sub}, \text{Exc}\}$

□ • If  $\text{R-SET}_{\text{Sub}}((\{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}), (\{S^1.b_{11}, \dots, S^1.b_{1v}, \dots, S^q.b_{q1}, \dots, S^q.b_{qw}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{S}}, \mu) \in \Sigma$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \bar{y} [\exists \bar{x}^1 \dots \bar{x}^k (\bigwedge_{j=1}^k R^j(\bar{x}^j) \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}1=1}^n (x_{\tau(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}1})}^1 = y_{1\mathbf{i}1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}k=1}^m (x_{\tau(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}k})}^1 = y_{1\mathbf{i}k}) \wedge \bigwedge_{\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}} (x_{\tau(R^{r+}.a_{r+\mathbf{v}_r})}^{r+} = x_{\tau(R^{r-}.a_{r-\mathbf{w}_r})}^{r-})) \rightarrow \\ & \exists \bar{z}^1 \dots \bar{z}^q (\bigwedge_{i=1}^q S^i(\bar{z}^i) \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}1=1}^n (z_{\tau(\mu(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}1}))}^{f_{\mu(1\mathbf{i}1)}} = y_{1\mathbf{i}1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}k=1}^m (z_{\tau(\mu(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}k}))}^{f_{\mu(1\mathbf{i}k)}} = y_{1\mathbf{i}k}) \wedge \bigwedge_{\bowtie_{\mathbf{S}}} (z_{\tau(S^{s+}.b_{s+\mathbf{v}_s})}^{s+} = z_{\tau(S^{s-}.b_{s-\mathbf{w}_s})}^{s-})))] \end{aligned}$$

• If  $\text{R-SET}_{\text{Exc}}((\{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}), (\{S^1.b_{11}, \dots, S^1.b_{1v}, \dots, S^q.b_{q1}, \dots, S^q.b_{qw}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{S}}, \mu) \in \Sigma$  then

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \bar{y} [\exists \bar{x}^1 \dots \bar{x}^k (\bigwedge_{j=1}^k R^j(\bar{x}^j) \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}1=1}^n (x_{\tau(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}1})}^1 = y_{1\mathbf{i}1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}k=1}^m (x_{\tau(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}k})}^1 = y_{1\mathbf{i}k}) \wedge \bigwedge_{\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}} (x_{\tau(R^{r+}.a_{r+\mathbf{v}_r})}^{r+} = x_{\tau(R^{r-}.a_{r-\mathbf{w}_r})}^{r-})) \rightarrow \\ & \neg (\exists \bar{z}^1 \dots \bar{z}^q (\bigwedge_{i=1}^q S^i(\bar{z}^i) \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}1=1}^n (z_{\tau(\mu(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}1}))}^{f_{\mu(1\mathbf{i}1)}} = y_{1\mathbf{i}1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \bigwedge_{\mathbf{i}k=1}^m (z_{\tau(\mu(R^1.a_{1\mathbf{i}k}))}^{f_{\mu(1\mathbf{i}k)}} = y_{1\mathbf{i}k}) \wedge \bigwedge_{\bowtie_{\mathbf{S}}} (z_{\tau(S^{s+}.b_{s+\mathbf{v}_s})}^{s+} = z_{\tau(S^{s-}.b_{s-\mathbf{w}_s})}^{s-})))] \end{aligned}$$

where:

(1) given  $\varrho^{\text{CA}} = \{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}$ , and  $\varrho^{\text{CB}} = \{S^1.b_{11}, \dots, S^1.b_{1v}, \dots, S^q.b_{q1}, \dots, S^q.b_{qw}\}$ ,

$\mu$  is a partial bijection s.t. for any  $\langle \varrho^{\text{CA}}, \varrho^{\text{CB}}, \mu \rangle \in \text{R-SET}_{\mathbf{H}}$ , we have  $\varrho^{\text{CA}} = \{R.a | \mu(R.a) \in \varrho^{\text{CB}}\}$ , and

(2)  $f_{\mu(xy)} = z$  iff  $\mu(R^x.a_{xy}) \in \varrho^{Sz}$

# First-Order Logic

■  $\text{O-SET}_H \subseteq \wp(\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V}) \times \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V}$  where  $H = \{\text{Isa}, \text{Tot}, \text{Ex}\}$

□ • If  $\text{O-SET}_{\text{Isa}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O) \in \Sigma$  then  $\forall y. O_i(y) \rightarrow O(y)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$

• If  $\text{O-SET}_{\text{Tot}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O) \in \Sigma$  then

$$\begin{cases} \forall y. O_i(y) \rightarrow O(y) \\ \forall y. O(y) \rightarrow O_1(y) \vee \dots \vee O_n(y), \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

• If  $\text{O-SET}_{\text{Ex}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O) \in \Sigma$  then

$$\begin{cases} \forall y. O_1(y) \rightarrow O(y) \wedge \neg O_2(y) \wedge \dots \wedge \neg O_n(y) \\ \forall y. O_2(y) \rightarrow O(y) \wedge \neg O_3(y) \wedge \dots \wedge \neg O_{n-1}(y) \\ \dots \\ \forall y. O_{n-1}(y) \rightarrow O(y) \wedge \neg O_1(y) \\ \forall y. O_n(y) \rightarrow O(y) \end{cases}$$

■  $\text{O-CARD} \subseteq (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V}) \times (\mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}))$

□ If  $\text{O-CARD}(O) = (\text{min}, \text{max}) \in \Sigma$  then  $\exists^{\geq \text{min}} y. O(y) \wedge \exists^{\leq \text{max}} y. O(y)$

■  $\text{R-CARD} \subseteq \wp(\varrho) \times (\mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}))$

□ If  $\text{R-CARD}(R.a) = (\text{min}, \text{max}) \in \Sigma$  then

$$\exists^{\geq \text{min}} x_{\tau(R.a)}. R(x_1 \dots x_{\tau(R.a)} \dots x_n) \wedge \exists^{\leq \text{max}} x_{\tau(R.a)}. R(x_1 \dots x_{\tau(R.a)} \dots x_n)$$

■  $\text{OBJ} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \times (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V})$

□ If  $\text{OBJ}(R, O) \in \Sigma$  then  $\forall x. O(x) \leftrightarrow \exists \bar{y}. R(\bar{y}) \wedge \text{ID}^{|e_R|}(\bar{y}) = x$

■  $\text{RING}_J \subseteq \wp(\varrho \times \varrho)$  where  $J = \{\text{Irr}, \text{Asym}, \text{Trans}, \text{Intr}, \text{Antisym}, \text{Acyclic}, \text{Sym}, \text{Ref}\}$

□ E.g. If  $\text{RING}_{\text{Irr}}(R.a, R.b) \in \Sigma$  then  $\forall x_{\tau(R.a)}, x_{\tau(R.b)}. R(x_{\tau(R.a)}, x_{\tau(R.b)}) \rightarrow \neg R(x_{\tau(R.b)}, x_{\tau(R.a)})$

■  $\text{V-VAL}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \wp(\Lambda_D)$  for some  $\Lambda_D \in \Lambda$  (where  $\Lambda_{(\cdot)}$  associates an extension to each domain symbol)

□ If  $\text{V-VAL}(V) = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \in \Sigma$  then  $\forall x. V(x) \rightarrow (x = d_1) \vee \dots \vee (x = d_n)$

# Relational Algebra

Syntax	Semantics
$\text{TYPE} \subseteq \varrho \times (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V})$	If $\text{TYPE}(R.a, O) \in \Sigma$ then $\Pi_{R.a} R^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq O^{\mathcal{I}}$
$\text{FREQ} \subseteq \wp(\varrho) \times (\wp(\varrho) \times \wp(\varrho)) \times (\mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}))$	If $\text{FREQ}(\{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}, \langle \min, \max \rangle) \in \Sigma$ then $\Pi_{\varrho^C}(R^{1^{\mathcal{I}}} \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} \dots \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} R^{k^{\mathcal{I}}}) \subseteq \{\bar{x}   \min \leq \sharp\{\sigma_{\bar{x}=\varrho^C}(R^{1^{\mathcal{I}}} \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} \dots \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} R^{k^{\mathcal{I}}})\} \leq \max\}$
where: (1) $\varrho^C = \{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}$ , and $\bar{x} = \varrho^C$ iff $R^1.a_{11} = x^1_{\tau(R^1.a_{11})}, \dots, R^k.a_{km} = x^k_{\tau(R^k.a_{km})}$ (2) $\bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} = \{\dots, \langle R^i.a_{i\mathbf{v}} = R^j.a_{j\mathbf{w}} \rangle, \dots\}$ , with $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , is the finite set of role pairs where the joins must be computed (e.g. given sequence of $n$ relations, $\mathbf{R}$ , $ \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}  = n - 1$ ), and $R^x.a_{x\mathbf{y}} \in \varrho_R^x$ for any $R^x \in \mathcal{R}$	
$\text{MAND} \subseteq \wp(\varrho) \times (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V})$	If $\text{MAND}(\{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}, O) \in \Sigma$ then $O^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \Pi_{R^1.a_{11}} R^{1^{\mathcal{I}}} \cup \dots \cup \Pi_{R^1.a_{1n}} R^{1^{\mathcal{I}}} \cup \dots \cup \Pi_{R^k.a_{k1}} R^{k^{\mathcal{I}}} \cup \dots \cup \Pi_{R^k.a_{km}} R^{k^{\mathcal{I}}}$
$\text{R-SET}_H \subseteq ((\wp(\varrho) \times (\wp(\varrho) \times \wp(\varrho))) \times (\wp(\varrho) \times (\wp(\varrho) \times \wp(\varrho)))) \times (\mu: \varrho \rightarrow \varrho)$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• If <math>\text{R-SET}_{\text{Sub}}((\{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}), (\{S^1.b_{11}, \dots, S^1.b_{1v}, \dots, S^q.b_{q1}, \dots, S^q.b_{qw}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{S}}, \mu) \in \Sigma</math> then <math>\Pi_{\varrho^{C_A}}(R^{1^{\mathcal{I}}} \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} \dots \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} R^{k^{\mathcal{I}}}) \subseteq \Pi_{\varrho^{C_B}}(S^{1^{\mathcal{I}}} \bowtie_{\mathbf{S}} \dots \bowtie_{\mathbf{S}} S^{q^{\mathcal{I}}})</math></li><li>• If <math>\text{R-SET}_{\text{Exc}}((\{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}}), (\{S^1.b_{11}, \dots, S^1.b_{1v}, \dots, S^q.b_{q1}, \dots, S^q.b_{qw}\}, \bowtie_{\mathbf{S}}, \mu) \in \Sigma</math> then <math>\Pi_{\varrho^{C_A}}(R^{1^{\mathcal{I}}} \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} \dots \bowtie_{\mathbf{R}} R^{k^{\mathcal{I}}}) \cap \Pi_{\varrho^{C_B}}(S^{1^{\mathcal{I}}} \bowtie_{\mathbf{S}} \dots \bowtie_{\mathbf{S}} S^{q^{\mathcal{I}}}) = \emptyset</math></li></ul>
where: (1) $\varrho^{C_A} = \{R^1.a_{11}, \dots, R^1.a_{1n}, \dots, R^k.a_{k1}, \dots, R^k.a_{km}\}$ , and $\varrho^{C_B} = \{S^1.b_{11}, \dots, S^1.b_{1v}, \dots, S^q.b_{q1}, \dots, S^q.b_{qw}\}$ (2) $\mu$ is a partial bijection s.t. for any $\langle \varrho^{C_A}, \varrho^{C_B}, \mu \rangle \in \text{R-SET}_H$ , we have $\varrho^{C_A} = \{R.a   \mu(R.a) \in \varrho^{C_B}\}$ , and (3) $H = \{\text{Sub}, \text{Exc}\}$	
$\text{O-SET}_H \subseteq \wp(\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V}) \times \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V}$ where $H = \{\text{Isa}, \text{Tot}, \text{Ex}\}$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• If <math>\text{O-SET}_{\text{Isa}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O) \in \Sigma</math> then <math>O_i^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq O^{\mathcal{I}}</math> for <math>1 \leq i \leq n</math></li><li>• If <math>\text{O-SET}_{\text{Tot}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O) \in \Sigma</math> then <math>O^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n O_i^{\mathcal{I}}</math></li><li>• If <math>\text{O-SET}_{\text{Ex}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O) \in \Sigma</math> then <math>\text{O-SET}_{\text{Isa}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O) \in \Sigma</math> and <math>O_i^{\mathcal{I}} \cap O_j^{\mathcal{I}} = \emptyset</math> for any <math>1 \leq i &lt; j \leq n</math></li></ul>
$\text{O-CARD} \subseteq (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V}) \times (\mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}))$	If $\text{O-CARD}(O) = (\min, \max) \in \Sigma$ then $\min \leq \sharp\{o   o \in O^{\mathcal{I}}\} \leq \max$
$\text{R-CARD} \subseteq \wp(\varrho) \times (\mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}))$	If $\text{R-CARD}(R.a) = (\min, \max) \in \Sigma$ then $\min \leq \sharp\{o   o \in \Pi_{R.a} R^{\mathcal{I}}\} \leq \max$
$\text{OBJ} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \times (\mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{V})$	If $\text{OBJ}(R, O) \in \Sigma$ then $\text{ID}^{\mathcal{I}}(R^{\mathcal{I}}) = O^{\mathcal{I}}$
$\text{RING}_J \subseteq \wp(\varrho \times \varrho)$ where $J = \{\text{Irr}, \text{Asym}, \text{Trans}, \text{Intr}, \text{Antisym}, \text{Acyclic}, \text{Sym}, \text{Ref}\}$	If $\text{RING}_J(R.a, R.b) \in \Sigma$ then $\Pi_{(R.a, R.b)} R^{\mathcal{I}}$ is <i>irreflexive, asymmetric, transitive, intransitive, antisymmetric, acyclic, symmetric, reflexive</i>
$\text{V-VAL}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \wp(\Lambda_D)$ for some $\Lambda_D \in \Lambda$	If $\text{V-VAL}(V) = \{v_1^D, \dots, v_n^D\} \in \Sigma$ then $V^{\mathcal{I}} = \{v_1^D, \dots, v_n^D\}$ for some $D$

A correct encoding in OWL2



Background domain axioms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_i \sqsubseteq \neg(D_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup D_l) \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\
&V_i \sqsubseteq D_j \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \text{ and some } j \text{ with } 1 \leq j \leq l \\
&D_i \sqsubseteq \bigcap_{j=i+1}^l \neg D_j \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, l\} \\
&\top \sqsubseteq A_{\top_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_{\top_{n_{max}}} \\
&\top \sqsubseteq (\leq 1i.\top) \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n_{max}\} \\
&\forall i.\perp \sqsubseteq \forall i+1.\perp \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n_{max}\} \\
&A_{\top_n} \equiv \exists 1.A_{\top_1} \sqcap \dots \sqcap \exists n.A_{\top_1} \sqcap \forall n+1.\perp \text{ for } n \in \{2, \dots, n_{max}\} \\
&A_R \sqsubseteq A_{\top_n} \text{ for each atomic relation } R \text{ of arity } n \\
&A \sqsubseteq A_{\top_1} \text{ for each atomic concept } A
\end{aligned}$$

TYPE( $R.a, O$ )	$\exists \tau(R.a)^-.A_R \sqsubseteq O$
FREQ $^-(R.a, \langle \min, \max \rangle)$	$\exists \tau(R.a)^-.A_R \sqsubseteq \geq \min \tau(R.a)^-.A_R \sqcap \leq \max \tau(R.a)^-.A_R$
MAND( $\{R^1.a_1, \dots, R^1.a_n, \dots, R^k.a_1, \dots, R^k.a_m\}, O$ )	$O \sqsubseteq \exists \tau(R^1.a_1)^-.A_{R^1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \exists \tau(R^1.a_n)^-.A_{R^1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \exists \tau(R^k.a_1)^-.A_{R^k} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \exists \tau(R^k.a_m)^-.A_{R^k}$
$^{(A)}$ R-SET $_{\text{Sub}}^-(A, B)$	$A_R \sqsubseteq A_S$ $^{(A)}$ $A = \{R.a_1, \dots, R.a_n\}, B = \{S.b_1, \dots, S.b_n\}$
$^{(A)}$ R-SET $_{\text{Exc}}^-(A, B)$	$A_R \sqsubseteq A_{\top_n} \sqcap \neg A_S$
$^{(B)}$ R-SET $_{\text{Sub}}^-(A, B)$	$\exists \tau(R.a_i)^-.A_R \sqsubseteq \exists \tau(S.b_j)^-.A_S$ $^{(B)}$ $A = \{R.a_i\}, B = \{S.b_j\}$
$^{(B)}$ R-SET $_{\text{Exc}}^-(A, B)$	$\exists \tau(R.a_i)^-.A_R \sqsubseteq A_{\top_n} \sqcap \neg \exists \tau(S.b_j).A_S$
O-SET $_{\text{Isa}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O)$	$O_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup O_n \sqsubseteq O$
O-SET $_{\text{Tot}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O)$	$O \sqsubseteq O_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup O_n$
O-SET $_{\text{Ex}}(\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}, O)$	$O_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup O_n \sqsubseteq O$ and $O_i \sqsubseteq \bigcap_{j=i+1}^n \neg O_j$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$
OBJ( $R, O$ )	$O \equiv A_R$

# Future work

- Extend the expressivity of the captured ORM2 fragment
- A tighter integration of our plugin with NORMA (cooperation???)
- A higher-level plugin implementing the well-founded methodology for formal ontology design based on the work of Guarino et al
- (a complete version of our work can be found in a technical report)